



IDEA Student Ratings of Instruction

# Group Summary Report

Institutional Summary  
University of Alaska Anchorage  
Spring 2006–2007

Page	Section
1	Description of Report
1	Description of Courses Included in This Report
2	I: Faculty Selection of Important and Essential Objectives
3	II: Student Ratings of Overall Outcomes –Comparison to IDEA Database
4	III: Student Ratings of Overall Outcomes –Comparison to This Institution
5–6	IV: Student Ratings of Progress on Objectives Chosen as Important or Essential
7	V: Teaching Methods and Styles
8	VI: Student Self–ratings and Ratings of Course Characteristics
9	VII: Faculty Self–report of the Institutional Context
10	VIII: Additional Questions

**Note:** Throughout the report, results for the Group are compared to the Institution and to the IDEA database. Institutional norms are based on courses rated in the previous five years provided at least 400 classes were rated during that time. IDEA norms are based on courses rated in the 1998–1999, 1999–2000, and 2000–2001 academic years.

## Description of Courses Included in This Report

<b>Number of Classes Included</b>	
Diagnostic Form	40
Short Form	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>
Number of Excluded Classes	14
<b>Response Rate</b>	
Classes below 65% Response Rate	31
Average Response Rate	48%
<b>Class Size</b>	
Average Class Size	26

**Number of Classes:** The confidence you can have in this report increases with the number of classes included. Classes were excluded if faculty members neglected to select Important and Essential objectives. If more than 10 percent of the eligible classes were excluded, the results may not be representative of the Group.

**Response Rate:** A 75% response rate is desirable; 65% is the minimum for dependable results.



The quality of



Tables in this section compare ratings of progress and "relevance" for the 12 objectives for this Group, with ratings for other classes at your institution and for all classes in the IDEA database. The tables on the left side of the page report averages (raw and adjusted) for the Group and the two comparison groups; they also display the number of classes for which the objective was selected as "relevant" (Important or

Percent of classes where Raw Average was at least:  
 4.00  3.75  3.50

**Objective 6:** Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)

Raw Avg.	Adjstd. Avg.	# of Classes
----------	--------------	--------------

This section is intended to support teaching improvement efforts. The 20 teaching methods assessed in the IDEA system (grouped into five "approaches" to teaching) are listed. The number of classes for which a given method was related to relevant (Important or Essential) objectives is indicated in the second column, and the third and fourth columns show the average and standard deviation of ratings. The graph on the right hand side of the page contains the information most pertinent to instructional improvement.

It shows the percentage of classes where the method was employed relatively frequently (a positive finding) or relatively infrequently (a negative finding).                      w a s    timprovement                      m  
negative



**Part A** describes student motivation, work habits, and academic effort, all of which affect student learning. The table gives averages for this Group, your Institution, and the IDEA database. It also shows the percentage of classes with averages below 3.0 and 4.0 or above. Although the information in this section is largely descriptive, it can be used to explore such important questions as:

- Is there a need to make a special effort to improve student motivation and conscientiousness?
- Are these results consistent with expectations?
- Does the percent of classes below 3.0 or 4.0 or above raise concerns or suggest strengths?

Averages for classes in this report are considered "similar" to the comparison group if they are within  $\pm .3$  of the Institution or the IDEA average, respectively.

### A. Student Self-ratings

#### Diagnostic Form (Short Form) Item Number and Item

**A. Primary and Secondary Instructional Approaches**

This table shows the relative frequency of various approaches

This section provides frequencies, average scores, and standard deviations for Additional Questions that were consistent across classes included in this summary report (if requested).

No additional questions requested.

**Classes Included in this Report:**

Report includes classes with the following class IDs:

3, 4, 7-36, 39-42, 48, 50, 52, 53